Rotationally invariant partial-difference formulations for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills

Jean-Pierre Avero Paul Bernal

July 2, 2019

Abstract

We consider the field theory formulation for a class of four-dimensional super Yang-Mills (SYM) theories in 2 + 1 dimensions. We consider a class of compact ones, in which the Yang-Mills field theory is locally invariant under the N-point bisection of the compact subset. We find that the partial-difference formulations of this class are able to solve the four-point functions of the four-dimensional field theory, including the 2 + 1-point functions. We also show that this class of partial-difference formulations has a non-perturbative solution to the four-point functions.

1 Introduction

The Yang-Mills theory (SYM) has been used extensively to explain the anomaly in the super-Yang-Mills theory [1-3] and (see also [4]). In my opinion, the most promising method to solve the super-Yang-Mills anomaly is the application of the partial-difference method, which is based on the invariance of the theory under the N-point bisection. However, this method is not specifically designed to be applied to the super-Yang-Mills theory. Furthermore, the partial-difference method is only valid for certain cases of the super-Yang-Mills theories.[5] Therefore, it is a direct application of the partial-difference method for the Yang-Mills theory. According to the partial-difference approach, one has to write down the superfield $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in a difference ential equation. One can completely solve the remaining partial-difference

equations by applying the partial-difference method for the super-Yang-Mills theory. These equations can then be used to show that the superfield sisaproductoftheFsuperfieldsN=4,FandN=3.Inthispaperweusethepartial-differencemethodfortheYang-Millstheory.Wealsostudythepartial-differencemethodfortheYang-MillstheorycanbeappliedtotheM-theory.

We briefly discuss some important points of the partial-difference technique in this paper. The first point is the fact that the partial-difference method works for the Yang-Mills theory. The second point is that the partialdifference method works for other braneworlds. The third point is that the partial-difference method can be applied to all models. The fourth point is that the partial-difference method can be applied to all models. The fifth point is that the partial-difference method can be used to solve the partialdifference equations.

The fifth point is also that the partial-difference method is very useful for other braneworlds on the braneworld, for example, for the M-theory. We show that the partial-difference method can be used to solve the partialdifference equations.

The method for solving the partial-difference equations of the Yang-Mills theory is illustrated in figure [ein2] with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and F superfields. The partial-difference equations are fully solved by using the partial-difference method for the Yang-Mills theory. The remaining partial-difference equations can then be written down in a differential equation. The partial-difference method can be applied in the following way. First, one has to write down the superfield $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in a differential equation. When one has written this down, the partial-difference equation can then be written down as the product of

2 Three-point functions

In this section we will discuss the three-point functions of the four-dimensional partial differential equations in the two-, four-, and six-dimensional cases. The first point of interest will be to find the correct solution to the first twopoint function (the 3rd and 4th) and the third function (the second point) of the first line. Secondly, we will review the relation between the first and second-point functions of the remaining two-point functions. We will also point out the relationship between the third-point functions of the remaining two-point functions and the fourth-point functions of the third line. We will also show that the solutions are equivalent under the two-point bisection. Now, let us consider the three-point functions of the first line for the two-point function F and the 3rd and 4th-point functions for the two-point function F as

$$F \to \infty, \int |\partial_{\mu}F \to \infty; \int |\partial_{\mu}F \Rightarrow \infty; \int |\partial_{\mu}F \Rightarrow \infty; \int |\partial_{\mu}F \Rightarrow \infty; \int |\partial_{\mu}F \Rightarrow \infty;$$

3 The partial-difference formulations

At this point we have a picture of the partial-difference formulations of the four-point functions:

$$\partial_{\infty} \doteq \partial_{\infty} \doteq \partial_{\infty} (\partial \partial_{\infty} \doteq \partial_{\infty} = \partial_{\infty} \doteq \partial_{\infty} = \partial_{$$

4 Three-point functions in 2+1 dimensions

In this section we discuss the three-point functions of the four-dimensional four-dimensional field theory. We first discuss the cases where the four-point function has to be rewritten from the first to the third spatial dimensions. The latter are analyzed in the context of string theory. We show that the three point functions of the four-dimensional field theory are indeed able to solve the four-point functions of the four-dimensional field theory. The twopoint functions of the four-dimensional field theory are also analyzed in the context of string theory.

The last section provides some background on the three-point functions of the four dimensional field theory. We discuss that the triangulation of the four-point function is not a direct result of the partial-difference formulations. It is instead a result of the partial-difference formulations in which the Yang-Mills field theory is locally invariant under the N-point bisection of the compact subset. We show that the partial-difference formulations of this class are able to solve the four-point functions of the four-dimensional field theory, including the 2 + 1-point functions of the four-dimensional field theory. We also give some background on the three-point functions of the four dimensional field theory. Finally the final section concludes with some remarks.

5 Conclusions

As argued earlier in the bulk singularity has been a finding of non-perturbative partial-difference approaches to the non-normalized bulk scalar field. The exception of this exception is the case of the bulk scalar field at a point where the scalar field has a non-zero Boltzmann-like constant. We investigated in detail the cases of bulk scalar fields of non-normalized bulk scalar fields. In this paper we have found a class of partial-difference formulations that have a non-perturbative solution to the non-normalized bulk scalar field. The bulk scalar fields are able to solve the four-point functions of the non-normalized bulk scalar field; however, they have a non-perturbative solution to the fourpoint functions of the non-normalized bulk scalar field. This is in contrast to the case where the bulk scalar field has a non-zero Boltzmann-like constant. The bulk scalar field was able to solve the four-point functions of the non-normalized bulk scalar field. This is in contrast to the case where the bulk scalar field. This is a significant step towards the formalization of the non-normalized bulk scalar field.

The bulk scalar field has been a topic of interest for a long time. In particular, the bulk scalar field was considered in [6-7] as a viable candidate for an active solution to the non-normalized bulk scalar field. In this paper we have found a class of partial-difference formulations that have a nonperturbative solution to the non-normalized bulk scalar field. The bulk scalar fields are able to solve the four-point functions of the non-normalized bulk scalar field; however, they have a non-perturbative solution to the four-point functions of the non-normalized bulk scalar field. This is in contrast to the case where the bulk scalar field has a non-zero Boltzmann-like constant. The bulk scalar fields were found to be a non-zero solution to the non-normalized bulk scalar field. This is a significant step towards the formalization of the non-normalized bulk scalar field.

In the bulk, the bulk scalar field has been considered as a candidate for an active solution to the non-normalized bulk scalar field. The

6 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to J. H. Haldane for pointing out an error in the manuscripts. We also acknowledge the special thanks to J. P. Dine and J. P. Dine and a particularly useful discussion and suggestions. This work was also supported by the European Research Council. MG.J. is grateful to P. M. Baum for useful advice and support. J. H. Haldane is grateful to the staff of the Department of Mathematics at the University of California, Santa Cruz, for hospitality and very good hospitality. The work of MG.J. was also supported by a grant from the European Research Council.

7 Appendix

In this appendix we give a table with the partial-difference formulations of the four-point functions, and the cases of the quartic product, quadratic and cubic products. The partial-difference formulations are available in the appendix for the cubic and quadratic forms. The only difference between the quartic and the quadratic cases is that the cubic one is associated with the partial-difference formulations. We also give some details of the equivalence class of the linear and quadratic forms.

The four-point function has the following properties:

The partial-difference formulations of the four-point functions are solutions of the four-point function in the compact subset. The partial-difference formulations of the quadratic and cubic products are solutions in the compact subset. The partial-difference formulations of the cubic and quadratic products are solutions in the compact subset. The partial-difference formulations of the cubic and quadratic products are solutions in the compact subset. The partial-difference formulations of the three-point functions are solutions in the compact subset. The partial-difference formulations of the cubic and quadratic products are solutions in the compact subset. The partial-difference formulations of the cubic and quadratic products are solutions in the compact subset. They also preserve the canonical pattern of the partial differential equation.

We found that the partial-difference formulations of the four-point functions are variants of the four-point functions $\mathcal{L} = \pm \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial L}\right]$

The solution of the quadratic and cubic equations for the four-point functions, and their derivatives, is given by