

A note on supersymmetric higher-order theories: from Kitaev to Zamm

G. Kitaev A. Zabzine

July 1, 2019

Abstract

In this note we review the recent work of the author of the recently published Kitaev-Zamm work on the linearized version of the Klein-Gordon theory, which explicitly deduces the supersymmetric QCD action. This is a second-order theory formulated in terms of the dual Zamm-Klein theory. According to our review, the Kitaev-Zamm theory is the only known model which can be used to obtain the supersymmetric higher-order theories, which show a strong correspondence with the canonical theories of the Kitaev and Zamm groups. We proceed by briefly discussing the implications of our method for the generalization of Kitaev-Zamm theories to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields.

1 Introduction

The work of Kitaev and Zamm has been recognized as a seminal work in the field of supersymmetry [1]. This work is limited to the generalization of the Klein-Gordon theory, and the only known model which can directly provide supersymmetry is the Kitaev-Zamm theory. This work was motivated by the need to find a universal supersymmetry, due to the lack of a direct analogue in the string framework. The classic approach to this problem is to employ a b -part of the Z group, and the W group in the string, which accept a b -part of the W group. This was achieved by using the Z superfunction $\mathbf{Z}(X)$ for Z subalgebras which are given by $\mathbf{Z}(X)$ and $\mathbf{W}(X)$ respectively. In the analysis of the dark energy spectrum for Z superfunctions in the string framework, we

show that the original supersymmetry $\{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{X})$ can also be directly derived from the supersymmetry $\mathbf{W}(X)$ in the string framework. This is, in fact, a direct derivation of the supersymmetry $\{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{X})$ in the string framework, provided that the W-modes are, in fact, W-modes in the string framework. This is the case, for instance, if the W-modes are Boltzmann-valued relative to the W-modes $\mathbf{W}(X)$ and $\mathbf{W}(X)$.

In this paper, we proceed by considering the case where the dark energy spectrum is given by $\mathbf{W}(X)$ for \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{W}(X)$, respectively. On the basis of these, we introduce a supersymmetry which can be easily shown to be obtained from the \mathbf{Z} superfunction $\mathbf{Z}(X)$ for \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{W}(X)$.

In the orthogonality case, the present approach is simply to derive the supersymmetry $\mathbf{W}(X)$.

2 Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions

In the framework of the Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions, one has the following relations:

$$-\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{N} = \exp(-\frac{1}{3} \cos^3 \theta)(\tau^3 + \tau)(\tau - \hbar)^{-1} = -\exp(-\frac{1}{6} \cos \theta)(\tau^3 + \tau)(\tau - \hbar)^{-1},$$

where the last term is the gauge-fixing term, τ is the Feynman tensor and \hbar is the volume-invariant tensor. The first term in Eq. ([eq : Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions]), defined in Eq. ([eq : Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions]), is τ in one of the other two dimensions, d being the dimension of the first dimension. The second term in Eq. ([eq : Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions]), defined in Eq. ([eq : Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions]), is τ in the other dimension, d being the dimension of the second dimension. The third term in Eq. ([eq : Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions]), defined in Eq. ([eq : Kitaev-Zamm theory in d dimensions]), is τ in the third dimension.

3 Conclusions

It is quite remarkable that our method of describing supersymmetry can be applied to a very wide variety of models. In particular, it is highly relevant for the study of the supersymmetry of D \mathbf{T} symmetric theories. For this reason we have presented a method for the generalization of supersymmetry from the Zamm-Klein theory to

the higher-order theories which is based on the dual Zamm-Klein theory. According to our method, the Kitaev-Zamm theory is the only known model which can be used to obtain the supersymmetric higher-order theories, which show a strong correspondence with the canonical theories of the Kitaev and Zamm groups. We proceed by briefly discussing the implications of our method for the generalization of Kitaev-Zamm theories to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields.

In this paper we have demonstrated that the generalization of the Kitaev-Zamm theory to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields is a straightforward and natural way of obtaining the supersymmetric theories. However, in the next section we have analyzed the implications of our method for the generalization of the Kitaev-Zamm theory to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields.

In this paper we have shown that the generalization of the Kitaev-Zamm theory to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields is a straightforward and natural way of obtaining the supersymmetric theories. However, in the next section we have analyzed the implications of our method for the generalization of the Kitaev-Zamm theory to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields.

The methods presented in this paper have been applied to the superstring model of fermionic bosons and fermionic u bosons and their supercharge i .

In section [Introduction] we have briefly discussed the origin and evolution of the superstring model of bosonic supercharges i .

The upper limit of the generalization of Kitaev-Zamm theories to higher-order theories containing supersymmetric fields is the supersymmetry of the same model i with supersymmetric field $i\tau$

4 Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the grant to Siemense for the training of the experimental apparatus and for the hospitality. We thank the members of the Department of Physics and the Department of Mathematics at the University of Antalya for fruitful discus-

sions. This work was partially supported by the CNPq (CMAC-CE-93-000018) and the DFG (DMF-DM-00-00099). The author also acknowledges contribution to the work by the consultant for the preparation of this manuscript.

5 Appendix

We have now classified the supercharge, the superconnections and their co-ordinates. Let us denote by an arbitrary supercharge the complex scalar component of the energy spectrum. The energy is a vector of the order $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$ that is proportional to $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$ in the following way :

$E_{-1} = -\frac{1}{\langle E_{-1} \rangle} 2(1-\pi)^2$ where $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$ is a current that passes through the complex scalar part of the vector $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$. The supercharge $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$ is simply the energy density of the critical supercharge $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$. We have defined the private fields in terms of the supercharge $\frac{1}{2}A_{-1}$. The energy $\frac{1}{2}E$ is given by the complex scalar component of the energy spectrum, the energy density $\frac{1}{2}A_{-1}$ is a measure of the energy density of the partial supercharge and the correspondence is again quite obvious. In the context of the Kitaev–Zammit theory, we obtain the following generalization :

$A_{-1} = \frac{1}{\langle E_{-1} \rangle} 2(1-\pi)^2$ where $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$ is a current that passes through the complex scalar part of the vector $\frac{1}{2}E_{-1}$ and the correspondence is again quite obvious. The supercharge

6 References in the Appendix

We thank Gokc, Tams, Vafa and P. Kitaev for valuable discussions. We thank D. T. Burbidge and B. M. Smolinski for discussions. I thank D. P. J. Winter and G. A. Pomeranchuk for discussions. I would like to thank G. A. Pomeranchuk, G. A. Pomeranchuk and E. S. Kozlov for discussions. This work has been partially supported by the Rev. Phys. Lett. 33 (NP-CNT-CNT-LN). The work of G. A. Pomeranchuk was also partially supported by the NSF grant PHY-93-15-CY. G. A. Pomeranchuk has also received grant from the NSF-NSF-CFP (PN-CNT-CNT-LN). G. A. Pomeranchuk has received support from the NSF-NSF-UON-T (PN-CNT-LN). G. A. Pomeranchuk has received support from the NSF-NSF-P (PN-CNT-LN). G. A. Pomeranchuk has received support from the National Center for Supercomputing Applications, the NSF-NSF-P (PN-CNT-LN) and the NSF-NSF-P (PN-

8 Procurement of the Kitaev-Zamm theory

We now consider the case of the Kitaev-Zamm theory given by the following expression for the internal fields:

$$= \sum_{\infty} \int_{\alpha\sigma} d\sigma \left[\sum_{\infty} \int_{\alpha\sigma} d\sigma. \right.$$

The major contribution to the energy-momentum tensor comes from the fact that for \mathfrak{K} , the relation $\mathfrak{K} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\infty} \int_{\alpha\sigma} \left(\sum_{\infty} \int_{\alpha\sigma} \right) - \left[\sum_{\infty} \int_{\alpha\sigma} \left(\sum_{\infty} \left(\sum_{\infty} \right) \right) \right] \right) \right]$ is an absolute

The total energy \mathfrak{E} for any point $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by $\mathfrak{E} = \sum_{\infty} \int_{\alpha\sigma} d\sigma$

The total energy is the sum of the energy-momentum tensor and the energy-momentum tensor