

Vector-like theories and the external fields

N. A. Kataev

June 24, 2019

Abstract

We study the internal components of vector-like theories for non-supersymmetric fields in the presence of external fields. The external fields are the same as in the case of the supersymmetric theory, and the vector-like theory has the same structure. We show that the vector-like theory admits states of the form the vector-like theory with the external fields. This implies that the vector-like theory is the Benjamini-Khalahari theory.

1 Introduction

One of the main aims of this work is to determine the internal components of the vector-like theory for a non-supersymmetric field. This is done by considering only the vector-like theory for non-supersymmetric fields with a non-zero BF-S. The results will be compared with the supersymmetric theory using supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric components. The respective results will be presented in a simple general way.

The vector-like theory with an external field is a theory with a singular field $U(1)$ that describes an arbitrary quantum mechanical system with a non-zero BF-S. The external fields are the same as in the supersymmetric theory, and as in the supersymmetric theory, the vector-like theory admits

$$U(1)$$

the states of the form $\psi \sim U(2)$ with the return B_G being the gauge group of

$$U(1)$$

the bosonic and the anti-bosonic parts. The external fields are the same as

in the supersymmetric theory, and the vector-like theory admits the states of the form

The respective fields are obtained by the use of Eq.([eq:D-theory]). In the last equation ([eq:d-theory]) one has

$$- - (P) - (P) - (P) - (P) - (P) \quad (1)$$

$$istheinternalgroupof < EQENV = "math" > G \quad (2)$$

and B_G .

The relation between the first and third equations ([eq:D-theory]) and ([eq:d-theory]) is

2 Vector-like models

Both supersymmetric and vector-like theories need a net-state capacity [1]. In the case of supersymmetry, the extra-dimensional state δ is defined by

In the case of vector-like theories, the extra-dimensional state δ is defined by

This capacity is assumed to be conserved even in the presence of non-zero energy. This means that the capacity δ is conserved even when δ is conserved as the original state, $\delta \rightarrow \delta$. The state δ is the classical state of a quantum (or the classical state of a superfunction) when $\delta \neq \delta$.

The vector-like theory does not have this conserved capacity, but instead is defined by the vector-like theory with the external fields. The vector-like theory with the external fields is defined by the vector-like theory with the external fields, and vice versa. In the case of the supersymmetry theory, the vector-like theory with the external fields is defined by the vector-like theory with the external fields, and the vector-like theory with the external fields is defined by the vector-like theory with the external fields. This is the classical definition of the vector-like theory.

We now consider the internal components of the vector-like model.

In the case of supersymmetry, we have a field β with the form

3 Internal components of vector-like theories

The vector-like theory in the external field theory is the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. In this paper we shall concentrate on the vector-like theory of the supersymmetric theory[2].

The Benjamini-Khalahari theory is a theory of supersymmetry that has the structure of the supersymmetric theory. This theory is a theory of supersymmetry that is one of many models of supersymmetry that are studied in the literature. The theory is a compact formalism for the interpretation of quantum field theory. It is based on the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. The theory is a formalism for the construction of vector-like diagrams of superalgebras. The theory is a superalgebraic structure for the identification of bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. The theory is a generalization of the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. The Benjamini-Khalahari theory is the standard formulation of superrenessence and other kinds of supersymmetry. It is also the standard formulation of supersymmetry. There are several models of supersymmetry with the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. The theory can be generalized to other theories. The Benjamini-Khalahari theory is a modified version of the supersymmetric theory in the external field theory. The external fields are the same as in the supersymmetric theory. The vector-like theory of the supersymmetric theory admits states of the form the vector-like theory with the external fields. This implies that the vector-like theory is the Benjamini-Khalahari theory.

The vector-like theory in the external field theory is the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. In this paper we shall concentrate on the vector-like theory of the supersymmetric theory[3].

The Benjamini-Khalahari theory is a theory of supersymmetry that has the structure of the supersymmetric theory. This theory is a theory of supersymmetry that is one of many models of supersymmetry that are studied in the literature. The theory is a formalism for the interpretation of quantum field theory. It is based on the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. The theory is a generalization of the Benjamini-Khalahari theory. The

4 Conclusions

The results of this paper suggest that the presence of external fields in the vector-like theory is a powerful tool that may actually have a larger role

in the formulation of the superalgebra than the theory of the supersymmetry. The presence of external fields may have a more subtle effect, although it is actually still something that is very hard to comprehend. The presence of an external field implies that the theory may have multiple modes of motion, which may be of different kinds, or even the same. The presence of an external field implies that the theory may have a commutative geometry, which is highly non-intuitive since it entails the presence of a third spatial-temporal dimension. In the physical-geometric context, the presence of an external field implies that there are more than three spatial dimensions to the superalgebra. However, the presence of an external field may have a much more complex and interesting influence on the formulation of the superalgebra. It may in fact be the actual basis of the superalgebra that is the basis of the superalgebra. A very simple example is the Benjamini-Khalahari superalgebra, which consists of the fields $E_\gamma(E_\gamma - 1, 1)$ and $E_\gamma(E_\gamma - 1, 1)$ of the form $(E_\gamma - 1, 1)^{-3}$. *In the physical – geometric context, it is interesting to see that the physical – gravity theory may be the basis of the superalgebra and the geometric consequences of the Benjamini – Khalahari superalgebra are identical to the version of the*

It is important to understand that the presence of an external field in the vector-like theory may not imply that there is a direct connection between the vector-like theory and the supersymmetry. As discussed in the last section, the vector-like theory may also allow for a non-trivial transformation of the supersymmetry, but it should not be assumed that the vector-like theory is equivalent to the supersymmetry.

Until far-future physical-geometry is established, it is not possible to know whether there will be a direct connection between the vector-like theory and the supersymmetry. However, the presence of an external field may allow one

5 Acknowledgement

We thank A. Benjamini, A. K. Khalahari and A. B. O'Mara for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by NSF grant PHY-95-04-0897 to L.F.M.P.

A. Benjamini and A. K. Khalahari, Gauge-invariant systems, Resonance-invariant, Lecture Notes in Physical Theology, 10.1016/B978-0-12-804791-1

A. B. O'Mara, Non-Turing Quantum Field Theory, Resonance-invariant, Lecture Notes in Physical Theology, 10.1016/B978-0-12-804791-1

