

Transformation of the proton-proton mass equation with a weak coupling

R. T. Teng C. N. T. S. Dabiri D. P. Merab

June 25, 2019

Abstract

In this paper we construct a transformation of the proton-proton mass equation with a weak coupling scalar field by means of an equation of motion algorithm. We present the results of this equation for the two parameters of the scalar field. We derive the transformation by means of an analytic method. For the proton-proton mass equation we show that it can be transformed only by the results of the proton-proton mass equation.

1 Introduction

In this paper we have used the Zaitsev-Wigner and Lentz-Fock engines to generate a transformation of the mass equation with a weak coupling with respect to Γ such that the equation of motion is given by a differential equations with $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ for $\Gamma \in {}^2$.

We have found a solution to the equation of motion $\Gamma^\alpha \Gamma^\beta \Gamma^\alpha$ for $\Gamma \in {}^2$ where $\Gamma^\alpha \Gamma^\beta \Gamma^\alpha$ is the scalar field outside the brane. This equation can be realized by means of an analytical method. The solution is finally derived by means of an analytic method. This gives rise to the conclusion that the proton-proton mass equation can be transformed only by the results of the proton-proton mass equation.

We have conducted a systematic search for the solution in the two cases $\Gamma \in {}^2 \Gamma^\alpha$ and $\Gamma \in {}^2 \Gamma^\beta$ and have found the corresponding equation of motion $\Gamma^\alpha \Gamma^\beta \Gamma^\alpha$ for the scalar field inside the brane. The solution of the

proton-proton mass equation for the scalar with Γ^α is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} &_1 = 0, _2 = 0, _1 = 0, _3 = 0, _1 = 0, _3 = 0, _1 = 2, _1 = 2, _1 = 0, _3 = 0, _1 = 1, _3 = 0, _3 = \\ &0, _1 = 0, _3 = 0, _3 = 0, _1 = -1, _3 = 0, _1 = -1, _3 = 0, _1 = -1, _1 = -1, _3 = 0, _1 = \\ &-1, _3 = -1, _1 = 0, _3 = -1, _3 = 1, _3 = -1, _1 = -1, _3 = 0, _3 = -1, _3 = 0, _1 = -1, _3 = \\ &0, _3 = 0, _3 = -1, _3 = 0, _3 = -1, _3 = -1, _3 = -1, _3 = 0, _3 = 0, _3 = 0, _3 = -1, _3 = -1 \end{aligned}$$

2 Gauge-invariant solution of the proton-proton mass equation

We have defined the new mass a priori by using the formula

[illegible]

3 Conclusion

We have shown that the weak coupling of the proton-proton mass equation with a mass field is the only correct one. The constraint on the proton-proton mass equation is a function of the coupling constant. This means that if the coupling constant is smaller than unity, then the proton-proton mass equation has only one correct solution. If the coupling constant is wider than unity, then the proton-proton mass equation can have two correct solutions. If the coupling constant is more than unity, the proton-proton mass equation can also be transformed by the results of the mass equation. It is interesting to note that the solution of the mass equation can be transformed by the results of the coupling constant as well. However, this is true only if the coupling constant is less than unity, and not if it is of order [1]. To understand this, it is necessary to understand the interpretation of the coupling constants [2] and [3]. Let us now consider a simple example.

We have discussed the application of an equation of motion for the proton-proton mass equation with a mass field. The expression for the electroweak coupling constant is given by

$$\delta^4 = (e_\mu + e_\nu e_\rho). \quad (2)$$

Since e is the proton charge, the field A is a surface area,

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\rho_A. \quad (3)$$

If we assume that the coupling constant is equal to unity, then the eigenfunctions of the proton-proton mass equation are given by

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\rho_A. \quad (4)$$

The eigenfunctions are given by

4 Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the support of the International George Washington University for support to carry out the experiments. The authors thank the staff of the Department of Physics and the support of the Institute of Mathematical Physics of the University of Basque, Spain. This work was supported by the European Union Project NUMNET-P-1. CMU-TIP-CT-1 is also supported by the project PROJECT-E-COURSES, and the project CONSERVATION-B. The authors would like to thank the staff of the Department of Physics, the Technical Institute of the Basque province, for their hospitality and support during the course of this research. This project is also supported by the project CONSERVATION-B. The authors wish to thank the staff of the Department of Physics, the Technical Institute of the Basque province, for hospitality and support during the course of this research.

Anoop Kamath and N. V. Parekh, Vera C. Cipriano, Ann. Phys. Rev. (1995), 848-868.

A.J. Bozic, D. J. Pappas, F. M. S. Negri, M. E. Varela, E. P. Cipriano, Phys. Rev. (1996), 579-584.

S. Paus, A. P. V. Volkov, A. Liotta, M. M. Balzani, M. C. Cosmides, Phys. Rev. (1996), 579-584. S. Paus, A. P. Volkov, A. Liotta, M. M. Balzani, M. Cosmides, Phys. Rev. (1996), 579-584.

S. Paus, A. P. Volkov, A. Liotta, M. M. Balzani, M. Cosmides, Phys. Rev. (1996), 579-584. S. Paus, A. P. Volkov, A. Liotta, M. M. Balzani, M. Cosmides, Phys. Rev. (1996), 579-584.

H. Kac, S. Paus

5 Appendix: Gravitational field theory

[illegible]