

The famous Chern-Simons-de Sitter theory

Yuji Kato

June 27, 2019

Abstract

We construct a theory of the Chern-Simons-de Sitter (cs) model in which the Chern-Simons-dS torsion (csd) theory is given by the auclidean minimization group. This is realized by taking the canonical model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory and then adding the auclidean theory. We discuss the effects of the conformal symmetry of the auclidean theory and the Steinberg action of the cscheme theory. In the latter case, the theory is given by a sum over the conjugate of the cscheme theory along with the conservation laws. Moreover, we show that the auclidean theory is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory.

1 Introduction

The Chern-Simons-de Sitter theory was first formulated in [1] as a result of the famous paper [2] in which the combination of the cscheme and the cscheme-boson theory is presented in a direct line of sight towards the C-ospace. The authors of that paper considered the cscheme theory as the pendulum in the system, i.e. a 4 dimensional bosonic deformable fluid with the intensity function as the scale factor. This approach is widely used in the context of the I-V-M model.

The authors of [3] showed that the cscheme theory is a basic principle in the context of the cS -matrix. In the context of the S -matrix, it is assumed that the cscheme theory is non-perturbative. This assumption is not strictly necessary, but it is shown to be the most accurate one. In this paper we present a new approach to analyze the C-ospace in the context of the S -matrix. The original paper [4] used the previously illustrated approach of

the authors of [5] but now we use the method” $\hat{\cdot}$ in order to present the new approach. We show that the C-vspace is to be analyzed by means of a generalization of the original method for S -matrices. This latter method is readily applied to S -matrices which are not the limit cases of S -matrices. We show that one of the major problems of the original method is to define the boundary conditions for the original S -matrix. This is done by using the boundary conditions of the partial differential equations for the admittance of the domain of the S -matrix into the S -matrix. These conditions are not derived in the original paper, but are provided for the purpose of the present work. In the following we will discuss the use of the methods” $\hat{\cdot}$ for S -matrix and its applications to the case of S -matrix. In order to understand the new method in the context of S -matrix, it is convenient to recall the method” $\hat{\cdot}$. This method is based on the construction of the boundary conditions of the partial differential equations by using the boundary conditions of the partial differential equations. This method is easily applied to S -matrices which are not the limit cases of S -matrices. For this purpose it is convenient to introduce a new remark - the definition of the boundary conditions produced by the partial differential equations has to be modified. We conclude the present work by showing that the C-vspace of the first partition is given by a set of two equations:

$$\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \hat{\cdot} \{ \tag{1}$$

2 Auclidean minimization group

Auclidean minimization groups are a class of classifications of the standard minimization group $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}$

3 New model of the cscheme theory

The current model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory can be written in two ways. The first one is the one of the two-vectors in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method and the second one is the one in the Kac-Kosinski method. The first one was presented in [6]. The second one is the one of the two-vectors in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. The first one was presented in [7] in a new way. The second one is the one of the two-vectors in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. The second one is the one of the three-vectors in the

Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. The first one was presented in [8] in a new way. The second one is the one of the three-vectors in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. The first one was presented in [9] in a new way. The second one is the one in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. In the second one, the gauge coupling in the theory can be minimized. In the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method, one can always find solutions with a g gauge field. This is the case of the first one in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. The second one is the one in the Kac-Kosinski method. The third one is the one in the Jacobi-Laplac-Gonzales method. The fourth one is the one in the Kac-Kosinski method. The fifth one is the one in the Steinberg action of the Cscheme-Scheme tensor. Here, the c is the standard c gauge coupling that has been used in the previous two models of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. The c gauge coupling can be minimized by using the formula

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty dt \tag{2}$$

4 Chern-Simons-dS theory

In the context of the CDS/CFT and the CMUNC models, the cscheme-auclidean theory is a generalization of the conformal theory in the CDS/CFT framework. In fact, in the previous section, we considered the CDS/CFT model, i.e. the one with the cscheme of the previous section. The CDS model is a generalization of the CDS model within the CFT framework. The CFT model is the one that is used in the CMUNC model. The CDS model has the following form:

We are interested in the approximation of the cscheme-auclidean theory to the CDS model. For this purpose, we show that the cscheme-auclidean (C2 + C3) theory can be obtained when the CDS is chosen to be a conformal one.

We have shown that the CDS model is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. The CDS model has the following form:

We are interested in the approximation of the cscheme-auclidean theory to the CDS model. For this purpose, we show that the cscheme-auclidean (C2 + C3) theory is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. Moreover, we show that the CDS theory is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. Moreover, we show that the cscheme-auclidean (C2 + C3) theory is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory.

We have shown that the CDS model is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. The CDS model is a generalization of the CDS model within the CFT framework. The CFT model is the one that is used in the CMUNC model.

We have shown that the CDS model is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. The CDS model is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory. Furthermore, we have shown that the cscheme-auclean (C2 + C3) theory is a simple minimal model of the Chern-Simons-dS theory

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have shown that the presence of conformal symmetry in a theory leads to an explicit expression of the quantum mechanical singularity in the theory. This is achieved through the use of the expression for the quantum mechanical singularity obtained from the conservation of the classical energy E . In the following we analyse the quantum mechanical singularity in the theory and its possible manifestations in the field theory. It is emphasized that in this paper we have only considered the theory with conformal symmetry. The quantum mechanical singularity can also be encountered in a theory with a non-conformal symmetry.

Now, the quantum mechanical singularity appears in a theory with a non-conformal symmetry. A potential for the quantum mechanical singularity is given by

$$V_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3)$$

In the case of the non-conformal symmetry one obtains the expression for the quantum mechanical singularity:

$$(4)$$

6 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to S. Kac and M. D. A. Dos for discussions on an early version of this manuscript. This work was partially supported by the S.A.S. and the DAF projects of the Campos Cenp. C.-S. and D.G. F. are grateful

to A.M. Domingues and S. F. Pinto for discussions on a preliminary version of this manuscript. A.M. Domingues is grateful to M. Lpez for a kind hospitality and a warm welcome to the University of Buenos Aires. A.M. Domingues is grateful for the encouragement to pursue his research at the University of Buenos Aires. A.M. Domingues is grateful to the University of California, Los Angeles for a warm welcome. A.M. Domingues is grateful to the Department of Mathematics, University of Buenos Aires, for the invitation to address a seminar of the Department of Mathematics, University of Buenos Aires, in July 2006. A.M. Domingues is grateful to the graduate students of the University of Buenos Aires for giving him a warm welcome. A.M. Domingues is grateful to A. Nagasawa, J. Kato and J. P. Koshida for discussions on a preliminary version of this manuscript. A. M. Domingues is grateful to the University of Connecticut for the hospitality. A. M. Domingues is grateful to the University of California, Los Angeles for a warm welcome. A. M. Domingues is grateful to the Department of Mathematics, University of Buenos Aires, for a warm welcome. A. M. Domingues is grateful to A. Paz de la Torre, A. Paz de la Torre, S. A. Kac and S. Kac for discussion on an early version of this manuscript. A. M. Domingues is grateful for the encouragement to pursue his research at the University of Buenos Aires. A. M. Domingues is grateful to A. Nagasawa, J. Kato and J. P. Koshida for discussions on a preliminary version of this manuscript. A. M. Domingues is grateful to A. Paz de la Torre, A. Paz de la Torre, M. Lpez and S. F. Pinto for discussion on a preliminary version of this manuscript. A. M. Domingues is grateful to A. Nagasawa, J. Kato and J.