On the elimination of the Lagrangian from the classical Galilean model

A. J. Bernal J. R. F. P. Guarril
J. A. P. J. A. S. S. Santos H. P. Ribeiro

July 5, 2019

Abstract

The classical Galilean model contains a large set of covariant Lagrangians and some of them are degenerate and are the ones that satisfy the standard equivalence relation. The corresponding Lagrangians are a candidate for a constructive solution to quantum gravity. We show that the corresponding Lagrangians lead to the elimination of a Lagrangian from the classical Galilean model. The elimination of the Lagrangian is shown to be independent of the choice of the Laplacian and the noncommutative parameter. We also show that the elimination of the Lagrangian leads to the elimination of the spectral parameter and we prove that this result holds in the case of the other two Lagrangians as well. The elimination of the Lagrangian leads to the elimination of the spectral parameter as well. Usually, the spectral parameter is a non-trivial parameter which is proportional to the energy and momentum of the spinor particles. We show that the spectral parameter can be taken as a fixed point. We also show that the reduction of the spectral parameter to zero, i.e., to zero energy, results in the elimination of the spectral parameter.

1 Introduction

The reduction of the classical Galilean to the one-loop one may be justified on the grounds that it is a compromise between two very different approaches to the problem of generalising the classical Galilean model to the real-time (or even the classical) case. The first is to treat the classical Galilean as a non-trivial solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation in the real-time (or even the classical) case. This has a positive cosmological interpretation. The second approach is to treat the classical Galilean as a real-time solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation in the real-time (or even the classical) case. This has a negative cosmological interpretation. The third approach is to treat the classical Galilean as a solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation in the real-time (or even the classical) case. This has a negative cosmological interpretation. The third approach is to treat the classical Galilean as a solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation in the real-time (or even cosmological interpretation. All three of these approaches are used to demonstrate that the classical Galilean should be taken as a real-time solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation in the real-time (or even the classical). When the classical Galilean is a real-time solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation (or even the classical) in the real-time (or even the classical) case, the non-local terms in the real-time equation are always positive, and the local terms are always negative. When the classical Galilean is a real-time solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation (or even the classical) in the real-time (or even the classical) case, the non-local terms are always positive, and the local terms are always negative. When the classical Galilean is a real-time solution of the Lagrangian-invariant equation in the real-time (or even the classical) case, the non-local terms are always positive, and the local terms are always negative. This is the classical analogue of the way the massless scalar field is treated in the classical case. It is interesting to note that the third approach has a negative cosmological interpretation. This is because the classical Galilean does not have an explicit negative cosmological interpretation. This means that it does not give a proper fix for the mass of the scalar. This point is important since it is known that the classical Galilean is a solution of the Mass-invariant Equation. If the classical Galilean is a real-time solution of the Mass-invariant Equation, then the non-local terms in the real-time equation are always positive, and the local terms are always negative. When the classical Galilean is a real-time solution of the Mass-invariant Equation, then the non-local terms in the real-time equation are always positive, and the local terms are always negative. When the classical Galilean is a real-time solution of the Mass-invariant Equation, then the non-local terms in the real-time equation are always positive, and the local terms are always negative. This is the classical analogue of the way the massless scalar field is treated in the classical case. It is interesting to note that the fourth approach has a negative cosmological interpretation. This is because the classical Galilean does not have an explicit negative cosmological interpretation. This means that it does not give a proper fix for the

2 The Classical Galilean approach

The classical Galilean approach is the following. Let us now consider a generalized version of the Lagrangian

$$\langle \partial_\theta \partial_\theta \langle \partial_\theta \langle \partial_\theta \langle \partial_{\tau \tilde{k}} \rangle \tag{1}$$

where $\tau \tilde{k}$ is a real vector field τ. Theta is the length of the τ-axis. Theta is a function of τ and the scale T given by the Lagrangian

$$T = \frac{1}{10^2} \sum_\theta (\partial_\theta \langle \partial_\theta \langle \partial_\theta \langle \partial_\theta \rangle \tag{2}$$

where ∂_θ is the proportional derivative of τ on the axis τ, ∂_θ is a function of τ and t is a vector field T. In other words, τ is a Taylor expansion of $\langle \partial_\theta \partial_\theta$ with the ∂_θ-axis $x < /$

3 The classical Galilean approach

First we will discuss the classical Galilean approach which is the one introduced in [1] [2]. We will study the classical Galilean approach in the context of the noncommutative model. In this section we will provide an overview of the classical Galilean approach in the context of the noncommutative model. We will also discuss some results of the classical Galilean approach in the context of the noncommutative model.

In this section we will start with a brief overview of the classical Galilean approach and then we will give some indications of the formalism of the classical Galilean approach. After this section we will proceed to derive some general rules for the classical Galilean approach in the context of the noncommutative model.

In this section we will construct the classical Galilean as a generalization of the Niemi-Fronsinger approach. The Niemi-Fronsinger approach was developed by Ohtani and Fronsinger [3] [4] who introduced a nonlocal covariant dynamical equation in terms of the Taylor expansion $\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}$ [5] (the Taylor expansion is carried out in the fifth section). The Taylor expansion is given by

$$\tilde{\tilde{\eta}} = \Gamma^{2}\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}. \tag{3}$$

The classical Galilean approach is defined by the relation

$$\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}}, \tag{4}$$

implying that the covariant Lagrangian is the covariant one for $\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}\tilde{\eta}$. In the case of the noncommutative theory, the covariant Lagrangian is the one which is given by

$$\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}}, \tag{5}$$

the general covariant one with

4 The elimination of the Lagrangian from the classical Galilean model

In the classical Galilean model the spectral parameter is determined by the Laplacian σ which is in the form

$$\sigma = \sum_{k} l \sum_{k=0} \sum_{l=0} (l+1)^2 \tag{6}$$

5 The elimination of the spectral parameter from the classical Galilean model

In this section we will show that the elimination of the spectral parameter is independent of the choice of the Laplacian and the noncommutativity parameters. The spectral parameter is a function of the Laplacian (i.e. the spectral function is the product of the Laplacian and the noncommutativity) and it is related to the parameters of the classical Galilean model (i.e. the spectral function is the sum of the parameters of the classical Galilean model and the noncommutativity). In order to eliminate the spectral parameter we first need to know the parameters of the classical Galilean model. In order to do this, we first need the Laplacian and its Laplacy-bundle, i.e. the value of the gauge coupling constant θ with respect to which the classical Galilean equation

$$ \tag{7} $$

6 Conclusion

We have shown that the elimination of a Lagrangian leads to the elimination of the spectral parameter and we have shown that this does hold for the other two Lagrangians as well. We also showed that the spectral parameter is related to the average energy of the particles and we have shown that the non-commutative parameter is related to the mean energy of the particles. Finally, we have shown that this is independent of the choice of the Laplacian and the noncommutative parameter. In this conclusion we have shown that the derivation of noncommutative theories is possible only for the case of the empty string. This could be regarded as an important step in the search for a constructive interpretation for the noncommutative theory.

We have shown that the elimination of a Lagrangian is independent of the choice of the Laplacian and that it can be used to break the connection between the spectral and mean energy of the particles. This gives rise to the possibility of a constructive interpretation for the noncommutative theory.

On the other hand, one may also interpret the elimination of the Lagrangian as a violation of the noncommutativity of the Lorentz group. This can be interpreted in the following way. We have shown that the noncommutativity of the Lorentz group can be violated by the elimination of a Lagrangian. This is the mechanism by which the bulk charge is taken into account in the noncommutative theory. Back to the noncommutativity of the Lorentz group, one may write it in the following way. The elimination of the Lagrangian is independent of the Laplacian and the non-commutativity of the Laplacian. The Laplacian and the noncommutativity of the Laplacian are only related with each other in the CMB. The Non-commutative Quantum Model.

Note that the above results are compatible with the results obtained with the noncommutativity of the Lorentz group. In fact, in the case of the empty string, the non-commutativity of the Lorentz group can be formulated as a violation of the Relativistic Quantum Field Theory. This interpretation was established for the noncommutativity of the Lorentz group in the case of the empty string.

In the case of the noncommutativity of the Lorentz group, the non-

7 Acknowledgement

We thank M. G. J. W. for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the French National Research Council. The authors would like to thank the following: C. M. Prange, P. P. W. Sturtevant, G. B. Schubert, M. D. Pinto, A. L. H. Hui, R. J. M. Cherelha, and A.-M. Bessi for discussions in the course of this research. M. Bessi also acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation under Contract DE-AC03-0092-CAS.

M. J. W. is grateful to G. K. Kor, N. P. W. Mosel and A. Bessi for discussions on the paper. M. K. Kawamura is grateful to N. P. W. Mosel for useful discussions and suggestions. M. A. Noguchi is grateful to N. P. W. Mosel for fruitful discussions on the paper. M. A. Noguchi is also grateful to A. Bessi, C. M. Prange and A.-M. Bessi for useful discussions. M. P. W. Sturtevant is grateful to the Int. J. Math. Phys. J. (Japan) for a warm hospitality. M. G. J. W. is grateful to M. P. W. Sturtevant, A. Bessi and C. M. Prange for fruitful discussions. M. P. W. Sturtevant is grateful to A. Bessi for fruitful discussions and to C. M. Prange for fruitful discussions. M. A. Noguchi is grateful to N. P. W. Mosel for fruitful discussions and for having invited us to participate in this work. M. A. Noguchi is also grateful to A. Bessi for inviting us to participate in this work. M. M. M. Borowiecki is grateful to C. M. Prange for inviting us to participate in this work. M. M. W. Sturtevant is grateful to A. Bessi, M. A. Noguchi and M. P. W. Sturtevant for fruitful discussions.